

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,404	SUNWOO, MYUNG-HOON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tan V. Mai	2193	

All Participants:

(1) Tan V. Mai.

(2) Mr. David Cutitta.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 February 2008

Time: 10:45AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



TAN V. MAI

(Examiner/SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE)
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Rep. agrees to amend claim 12 as follow:

line 1,: "by" has been changed to --including instructions for--; and

line 6; "programmable processor" has been changed to --programmable processor chip--..



TAN V. MAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER